

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/015,500	Applicant(s) DATTA, AMIT	
	Examiner Edna Wong	Art Unit 1753	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ____

 (1) Edna Wong.

(3) _____.

 (2) Leo Zucker.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2004
Time: 10:25 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

1-7, 21 and 36

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the method claims were allowable except for the new matter in claim 36. However, the issue of new matter was cleared up in view of Tables 8-10 in Applicant's specification. Also, authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted to cancel the non-elected claims 1-7 and 21. Details of the Examiner's Amendment are set forth herewith.